



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/781,696	01/10/1997	MUSTANSIR BANATWALA	75677/NAB	8573

1333 7590 04/22/2002

PATENT LEGAL STAFF
EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY
343 STATE STREET
ROCHESTER, NY 14650-2201

EXAMINER

PAULA, CESAR B

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2176

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

cd

Office Action Summary

Application No.

08/781,696

Applicant(s)

BANATWALA ET AL.

Examiner

CESAR B PAULA

Art Unit

2176

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 February 2002.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the amendment filed on 1/16/2002.

This action is made Final.

2. In the amendment, claims 1-17 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 7, and 12 are independent claims.

Drawings

3. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.
4. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 7/16/99 have been approved.
5. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 6/9/2000 have been approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1-17 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Windows NT Explorer 4.0 screen dumps (Figs. 1-9, 1994), in view of PhotoImpact 3.0 file dialog boxes screendumps, hereinafter Photoimpact (1996).

Regarding independent claims 1, and 7, Windows NT Explorer discloses the invention as follows:

It is noted that Windows NT Explorer disclose a *file/image previewing apparatus*—Windows NT Explorer Quick View program.

Moreover, Windows NT Explorer discloses *an extension...the extension sharing a user interface with the operating system...enabling display of internal file characteristics and the file image of the desired file by opening the desired file in a manner free of opening an application program in working memory... ..* (Quick View pop-up window in Fig. 2, and pop-up window in fig.6-7, which shares an interface with windows os, enables user to open, and display the internal contents of a file, e.g., color type, graphics, font etc, and the file image without opening an application program in the computer's memory). Windows NT Explorer fails to explicitly disclose: *an extension...enabling display of a recitation of indicia of internal file characteristics separate from the file image*. Photoimpact teaches the display of file characteristics—color type, compression, image dimensions, number of pixels..etc—separate from the image(p.1-2). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Windows NT Explorer, and Photoimpact, because Windows NT Explorer teaches above the flexibility of file management features, moving, copying, the display of detailed file characteristics without the time-consuming task of opening an application program in working memory, etc not afforded in most application programs.

Furthermore, Windows NT Explorer discloses *display assembly for displaying the recitation of indicia of file characteristics in a manner free of opening a application program in working memory* (preview of file in Fig. 3, and pop-up window in fig.6-7, which shows the internal contents of a file, e.g., color type, graphics, font etc, and the file image without opening an application program in the computer's memory). Windows NT Explorer fails to explicitly disclose: *displaying the recitation of indicia of internal file characteristics separate from the file image*. Photoimpact teaches the display of file characteristics—color type, compression, image dimensions, number of pixels..etc—apart from the image (p.1-2). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Windows NT Explorer, and Photoimpact, because Windows NT Explorer teaches above the flexibility of file management features, moving, copying, the display of detailed file characteristics without the time-consuming task of opening an application program in working memory, etc not afforded in most application programs.

Regarding dependent claim 2, Windows NT Explorer discloses:

an extension coupled to the file manager....user interface in common with the file manager....
(pop-up window in Fig. 2).

Regarding dependent claims 3, Windows NT Explorer discloses:

an extension....display of working image from the file (i.e selection of file Dffintro and its display in Figs. 2 and 3).

Regarding dependent claims 4, Windows NT Explorer discloses:

a display of the working image is a reduced-in-size version of working image(Fig. 3).

Claim 5 is directed towards an apparatus for implementing the system found in claim 1, and is therefore similarly rejected.

Regarding dependent claims 6, Windows NT Explorer discloses that:

the file manager is a document manager for managing folders of files (Fig. 9);
and *the extension* (pop-up windows in Fig. 9, from the "New" option in the "File" menu, would enable the user to create, delete, rename, etc folders) *enables display of folder characteristics...* (Fig. 9). It is noted that Windows NT Explorer fails to explicitly disclose a single *characteristic* as referred to in claim 6, however, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to enable *the extension* to display one *folder characteristic*, instead of several *characteristics* as in claim 11 and Windows NT in order to customize the display.

Regarding dependent claim 8, Windows NT Explorer discloses:

an extension....display of working image from the file (i.e selection of file Dffintro and its display in Figs. 2 and 3).

Regarding dependent claim 9, Windows NT Explorer discloses:

a display of the working image is a reduced-in-size version of working image(Fig. 3).

Regarding dependent claim 10, Windows NT Explorer discloses:

method and apparatus to provide *the indication of file characteristics* (the compression type of Winzip file "4, 8-5, 5, 99" at the bottom of Fig. 8). It is noted that Windows NT Explorer fails to explicitly disclose the *annotation graphics of the file*, however, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include these *annotation*

graphics along with at least one of *the file characteristics*, such as compression type in order to have specific details on the file or image.

Regarding dependent claim 11, Windows NT Explorer discloses that:
the file manager is a document manager for managing folders of files (Fig. 9);
and *the extension* (pop-up windows in Fig. 9, from the “New” option in the “File” menu, would enable the user to create, delete, rename, etc folders) *enables display of folder characteristics...* (Fig. 9). It is noted that Windows NT Explorer fails to explicitly disclose a single *characteristic* as referred to in claim 6, however, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to enable *the extension* to display one *folder characteristic*, instead of several *characteristics* as in claim 11 and Windows NT in order to customize the display.

Regarding independent claims 12, Windows NT Explorer discloses the invention as follows:

It is noted that Windows NT Explorer disclose *providing a working memory for executing application programs, and executing application programs in said working memory...*—Windows NT Explorer “about Quick View” window in fig. 4 teaches memory for the execution of application programs.

It is noted that Windows NT Explorer disclose a *file/image previewing apparatus*—Windows NT Explorer Quick View program.

Moreover, the limitations of *enabling display of internal file characteristics....and in a manner free of opening and running an application program in working memory*, are rejected based on the same basis as claim 1.

Claim 13 is directed towards a method for implementing the system found in claim 1, and is therefore similarly rejected.

Regarding dependent claims 14, Windows NT Explorer discloses:
a display of the working image is a reduced-in-size version of working image (Fig. 3).

Regarding dependent claims 15, Windows NT Explorer discloses:
method and apparatus to provide *the indication of file characteristics* (the compression type in Fig. 7).

It is noted that Windows NT Explorer fails to explicitly disclose the *annotation graphics of the file*, however, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include these *annotation graphics* along with at least one of *the file characteristics*, such as compression type in order to have a more complete and specific details on the file or image.

Claim 16 is directed towards a method for implementing the system found in claim 1, and is therefore similarly rejected.

Regarding dependent claim 17, Windows NT Explorer discloses the invention as follows:
*a method....step of providing operating system means (Windows NT Explorer in Fig. 1) includes at least one of: providing a document manager..... (left pane in Fig. 5)
and providing a file manager (right pane in Fig. 1)..... in a manner free of opening an application program in the working memory.*

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 2/12/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant submits that neither Windows NT Explorer nor PhotoImpact 3.0

Art Unit: 2176

teach an extension integrated with the OS enabling the display of a recitation of indicia of internal file characteristics separate from the file image (p.4,L.14-21). The Examiner disagrees, because as seen in Fig. 7 Windows NT teaches the details or indication of file characteristics--compression, and type of compression used in forming file (i.e. "zip" etc), color type (i, e, black & white or color)-- Windows NT Explorer fails to explicitly disclose: *an extension...enabling display of a recitation of indicia of internal file characteristics separate from the file image*.

Photoimpact teaches the display of file characteristics—color type, compression, image dimensions, number of pixels..etc—separate from the image(p.1-2). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to enable Windows NT Explorer to display the internal file characteristics of Photoimpact, because Windows NT Explorer teaches above the flexibility of file management features, moving, copying, the display of detailed file characteristics without the time-consuming task of opening an application program in working memory, etc not afforded in the application programs.

Moreover, the Applicant submits that neither Windows NT Explorer nor PhotoImpact 3.0 teach the difference between an OS extension and an application program. (p.5,L.8-10). The Examiner disagrees, because as seen in Fig. 7 Windows NT teaches an extension for displaying the details or indication of file characteristics--compression, and type of compression used in forming file (i.e. "zip" etc), color type (i, e, bitmap, black & white or color). Photoimpact is an application program for processing images, and which is not affiliated with the operating system. Photoimpact displays file characteristics—color type, compression, image dimensions, number of pixels..etc—separate from the image(p.1-2).

Moreover, the Applicant notes that neither references address the problems associated with the conversion of an application program into an OS application (p.5,L.19-25). The Examiner disagrees, because as seen in Fig. 2-4 Windows NT teaches the integration of an application program which allows a user open or look inside a file, and Windows Explorer.

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the of rejections as necessitated by the amendment.

Regarding claims 1-17, the Applicant submits that the relied upon prior art fails to teach or suggest sharing of a user interface with the OS (p.6, L.1-14). The Applicants are directed towards the new rejections of this limitation as necessitated by the amendment.

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2176

I. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cesar B. Paula whose telephone number is (703) 306-5543. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon, can be reached on (703) 308-5186. However, in such a case, please allow at least one business day.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this Action should be mailed to:

Director United States Patent and Trademark Office

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

- (703) 746-7238, (for **After Final** communications intended for entry)
- (703) 746-7239, (for **Formal** communications intended for entry, **except formal After Final communications**)


Or:

- (703) 746-7240, (for **Informal or Draft** communications for discussion only, please label **"PROPOSED"** or **"DRAFT"**).

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

CBP

4/16/02


STEPHEN S. HONG
PRIMARY EXAMINER